

1 REBECCA R. WEINREICH, SB# 155684
E-Mail: weinreich@lbbslaw.com
2 STEPHEN V. KOVARIK, SB# 184656
E-Mail: kovarik@lbbslaw.com
3 **LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP**
221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200
4 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 250-1800
5 Facsimile: (213) 481-0621

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff National Union
Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

12 NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE) CASE NO. 4:09-CV-02046-CW
COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA, a)
13 Pennsylvania corporation,) The Honorable Claudia Wilken
Plaintiff,) Magistrate Judge Bernard Zimmerman
14)
15 v.)
16 NVIDIA CORPORATION,)
Defendant.)
17)
18)
JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
TELEPHONIC DISCOVERY
CONFERENCE

19 Plaintiff National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa. ("National Union") and
20 defendant Nvidia Corporation ("Nvidia") stipulate and apply to the Court for an order continuing
21 the telephonic discovery conference scheduled in this matter for Monday, June 8, 2009, at 2:30 p.m.,
22 to Wednesday, June 10, 2009 at 3:00 p.m. This stipulation is based on the following facts:

23 1. By order dated June 4, 2009, this Court scheduled the above referenced telephonic
24 discovery conference to discuss the pending discovery dispute involving National Union's Motion
25 for Order Allowing Expedited Discovery. Counsel for National Union is not available at the
26 specified time because of a prior pending commitment.

27 | //

28 | //

2. As an accommodation to counsel, and subject to approval by the Court, the parties hereby stipulate and agree to continue the conference to Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 3:00 p.m.

DATED: June 4, 2009

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By /s/ Stephen V. Kovarik

Rebecca R. Weinreich

Stephen V. Kovarik

Attorneys for Plaintiff National Union
Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa.

DATED: June 4, 2009

FARELLA BRAUN + MARTELL LLP

By /s/ Amanda D. Hairston

Amanda D. Hairston

Attorneys for Defendant Nvidia Corporation

Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45 Section X(B), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from its signatory.

Dated: June 4, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stephen V. Kovarik

Stephen V. Kovarik

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Conference moved to June 10, 2009 at 3:30 p.m.

DATED: June 8, 2009

Bernard Zimmerman
United States Magistrate Judge

1 **FEDERAL COURT PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 *National Union Fire Insurance Co. v. Nvidia Corporation - File No. 6234-7228*

3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

4 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business
5 address is 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200, Los Angeles, California 90012. I am employed in
the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

6 On June 5, 2009, I served the following document(s): **DECLARATION OF JAMES**
7 **SCALISE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF NATIONAL UNION INSURANCE COMPANY**
OF PITTSBURGH, PA'S MOTION FOR ORDER ALLOWING EXPEDITED DISCOVERY

8 I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax
numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable):

9 Amanda D. Hairston
10 FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP
11 Russ Building
12 235 Montgomery Street
13 San Francisco, CA 94104
14 Telephone: 415-954-4400
15 Facsimile: 415-954-4480

16 The documents were served by the following means:


17 [] (BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the
18 persons at the addresses listed above and I deposited the sealed envelope or package with the
19 U.S. Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.

20 [] (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I personally delivered the documents to the persons at the
21 addresses listed above. Delivery was made [] to the party or attorney listed above, or []
22 at the party or attorney's office by leaving the documents in an envelope or package clearly
23 labeled to identify the party or attorney being served with a receptionist or individual
24 apparently in charge of the office, or [] at the time of delivery, there appeared to be no one
25 in charge, and the envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the party or attorney being
26 served was left in a conspicuous place.

27 [X] (BY COURT'S CM/ECF SYSTEM) Pursuant to Local Rule, I electronically filed the
28 documents with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of
that filing to the persons listed above.

29 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is
30 true and correct.

31 Executed on June 5, 2009, at Los Angeles, California.

32 
33 Annette E. Jacques